## **IFC Minutes**

## 12/11/20

- 1. CRR: Dismissal for cause
  - a. Taskforce has met for the first time
  - b. The goal is to protect the faculty while making sure the process is fair and the steps are clear to everyone
- 2. CRR: Consensual romantic relationship
  - a. Key edits include
    - i. Protecting undergraduates
    - ii. Addition of previous relationships as well as if things begin to emerge
    - iii. Ways to manage the relationship similar to managing conflict of interest
    - iv. Process for exceptions
  - b. Feedback
    - i. The age demographic may be different for undergraduates especially in urban campuses
      - 1. Exceptions later in the policy
      - 2. Some exceptions need to be stated earlier in the policy
    - ii. Proposed edit 3.2 after prohibited, except as described below in 4.3.1
- 3. CRR: Evaluation of the ability to work
  - a. Key edits include:
    - i. Grievances don't stop the process
    - ii. Quicker process for immediate safety issues
    - iii. Committee that includes faculty members
    - iv. Removes provost requirements to bring in multiple other groups
    - v. Faculty coordinator role
      - 1. Pulled out into Standard Operating procedures
      - 2. They don't vote
      - 3. Changed it from a faculty role to an administrative staff/HR professional
    - vi. Added timelines
  - b. Feedback:
    - i. Just say business days instead of weeks and business days
    - ii. F1 evaluation panel will review the verifiable evidence (F2a)
- 4. CRR: Faculty leave
  - a. Came up through IFC pre-COVID
  - b. Key edits include
    - i. Provides clarity of development leaves, length and payment
    - ii. Should be beneficial to the faculty member and the university make the case before and demonstrate after
    - iii. Moved sabbatical to the top
  - c. This went to the Chancellors once COVID had hit and had some questions
  - d. Provosts still like the idea of sabbaticals but during COVID the timing to go to the Board seems off

- e. Status: Put these edits on hold and bring up again after COVID
- 5. Finance update (Ryan Rapp)\_
  - a. Challenge is to understand long term ramifications
  - b. State support is going to be fairly robust because of budget stabilization funds
  - c. Thank you for all the work the faculty have done this semester
  - d. Bigger question what is FY22 going to look like
  - e. If remote only there would be a large impact to ancillary income especially at MU and S&T

## 6. President Choi

- a. FY21 is going to be okay because of the one-time support from stimulus report
- b. FY22 is going to be pretty severe
- c. Need to look into new ways of doing things, there will be a need for increased collaboration and communication
- d. eLearning
  - i. How is this going? What are the plans moving forward? Where are we making progress?
  - ii. Next Steps: eLearning to come to the February IFC meeting to present the progress
- e. Campus reports
  - i. UMKC
    - 1. program evaluations reports
    - 2. reorganization taskforce
    - 3. promotion and tenure standards and guidelines to the departments to ensure they have similar processes in place
  - ii. UMSL
    - 1. Academic prioritization
    - 2. Extra compensation
    - 3. Virtual graduation ceremony on the 19<sup>th</sup> each school launches at a different time
  - iii. S&T
    - 1. Working on bylaw changes
    - 2. Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) visit 12/6-12/8 went very well and had a positive change since last visit
    - 3. Waiting on a decision for a provost
    - 4. Virtual graduation
    - 5. Email for retirees raises an issue of the value of emeritus/retired faculty

## iv. MU

- Curriculum should include Inclusion, Diversity and Equity (IDE) requirements
- 2. New email policy suggested amendment
- 3. Representation of ranked faculty on faculty council NTT and T/TT proposal mechanism to go back and ensure representation
- 4. Student evaluations of teaching student comments in dossier
- 5. Cancer research is growing rapidly, Dr. Carolyn Anderson

