Faculty Teaching Load Responsibility Executive Summary Fall 2001

Report Prepared by
Robert Mullen
Assistant Director,
and Elisa Day,
Program Assistant
Institutional Research and Planning
Office of Planning & Budget
mullenrw@umsystem.edu

March 2002 Revised April, 2002

University of Missouri System P&B 02-04

Web location:

http://www.system.missouri.edu/planning/planning.html

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FACULTY TEACHING LOAD RESPONSIBILITY FALL 2001

This report summarizes the analysis of the on-campus teaching loads of full-time, regular, ranked faculty for the fall 2001 semester. Measures of teaching load presented in this report include the following: average section credits assigned, number of sections taught, average section credit value, section enrollment, and student credit hours generated. Data on off-schedule and off-campus instructional activities are not included in this report, nor are research buyouts reflected in the data. Beyond reporting teaching loads for fall 2001, the report includes a comparative analysis of changes in teaching loads since fall 1997.

Key findings include:

- The average number of section credits assigned to full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 8.7 (Table 1).
- The average number of sections assigned to full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 3.3 (Table 1).
- The average number of student credit hours produced by full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 140 (Table 1).
- Full-time, regular, ranked faculty were responsible for 52% of all on-campus sections and 47% of all on-campus student credit hours produced (Table 2).
- Since 1997, the average assigned section credits of full-time, regular, ranked faculty has remained stable (Table 3a).
- UM-System totals indicate that the average student credit hours produced by full-time, regular, ranked faculty has dropped 13% over the past five years (Table 3e).
- System-wide, graduate assistants were responsible for 38% of the lower division sections taught in the fall of 2001 (Table 4).

AVERAGE INSTRUCTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND PRODUCTIVITY

Table 1 shows the average number of section credits, sections, student credit hours and section enrollments for full-time, ranked, regular faculty during the Fall 2001 semester. Each dimension provides an important measure of faculty responsibility and productivity.

- System-wide, faculty were assigned an average of 8.7 section credits.
- The average number of section credits assigned ranged from 8.2 at UMC to 9.5 at UMR.
- The average number of sections assigned system-wide was 3.3.
- The highest average student credit hour production per faculty was at UMC (147), while the overall system average was 140 student credit hours.
- Average section enrollments ranged from 18.8 at UMC to 14.3 at UMKC. System-wide, the average was 16.7.

Table 1: On-Campus Average Instructional Responsibility and Productivity of Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 2001

			Average	e Responsibility	Average Productivity			
			Section	Number	Student	v		
			Credits	of Sections	Credit Hours	Average		
Rank		Number	Taught	Taught	Produced	Section Size		
			Univara	sity of Missouri - S	vstam			
	_		Univers	sity of Missouri - S	ystem			
Professor		697	8.6	3.2	132	16.3		
Associate Professor		666	9.2	3.5	150	16.8		
Assistant Professor	_	494	8.3	3.1	138	17.0		
	Total	1,857	8.7	3.3	140	16.7		
	Index*	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
	_		Universit	ty of Missouri - Co	lumbia			
Professor		344	8.5	3.1	142	18.4		
Associate Professor		317	8.5	3.3	151	18.4		
Assistant Professor		261	7.5	2.8	148	20.1		
	Total	922	8.2	3.1	147	18.8		
	Index*	0.5	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1		
	_		University	of Missouri - Kan	sas City			
Professor		141	8.4	3.1	126	15.0		
Associate Professor		162	10.0	3.7	145	14.3		
Assistant Professor		104	9.5	3.4	125	13.2		
	Total	407	9.3	3.4	133	14.3		
	Index*	0.2	1.1	1.1	1.0	0.9		
	_		Univer	sity of Missouri - I	Rolla			
Professor		107	9.6	3.6	110	13.0		
Associate Professor		80	9.8	3.6	150	17.8		
Assistant Professor		68	9.1	3.4	119	13.6		
	Total	255	9.5	3.5	125	14.7		
	Index*	0.1	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.9		
	_		Universi	ty of Missouri - St.	. Louis			
Professor		105	8.1	3.0	128	15.2		
Associate Professor		107	9.6	3.6	154	15.6		
Assistant Professor		61	8.8	3.2	139	15.5		
1551514111 1 10105501	Total	273	8.8	3.3	141	15.4		
	Index*	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9		
	muex '	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9		

^{*} Index computed relative to UM-System average.

NOTE: Due to rounding, some columns may not total.

INSTRUCTIONAL CONTRIBUTION

Table 2 depicts the relative contribution of full-time, regular, ranked faculty by presenting the percent of the total section credits, sections, student credit hours, and section enrollments that these faculty members provide.

- Full-time, regular, ranked faculty were responsible for 55% of the assigned section credits.
- At UMR, they were responsible for 67% of the section credits, while at UMSL, they were responsible for 39% of the section credits.
- System-wide, 52% of all sections were assigned to this group. These faculty members were assigned almost two of every three sections offered at UMR and UMKC and four of every ten sections offered at UMSL.

Table 2: On-Campus Instructional Contribution of Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 2001

		Percent	of Total:	
			Student	
	Section	Sections	Credit	Section
Rank	Credits	Taught	Hours	Enrollments
	-		• • •	
		University of M	issouri - Syste	e <u>m</u>
Professor	20	19	16	16
Associate Professor	21	20	18	18
Assistant Professor	14	13	12	12
Tota		52	47	45
	Uı	niversity of Mis	souri - Colum	ıbia
Professor	22	19	17	16
Associate Professor	20	18	17	16
Assistant Professor	14	13	13	12
Tota		50	47	44
	Uni	iversity of Miss	ouri - Kansas	City
Professor	19	19	18	19
Associate Professor	26	26	24	24
Assistant Professor	16	15	13	13
Tota		61	56	56
		University of M	<u> Iissouri - Roll</u>	a
Professor	28	26	20	20
Associate Professor	22	19	20	20
Assistant Professor	17	15	14	12
Tota	1 67	61	54	52
	T T			: -
		niversity of Mis	ssouri - St. Lo	uis
Professor	14	14	12	12
Associate Professor	17	18	15	15
Assistant Professor	9	9	7	8
Tota		41	34	35

NOTES: 1) Due to rounding, some columns may not total. 2) The remaining sections would be taught largely by part-time regular faculty members, full- and part-time non-regular faculty members, and graduate teaching assistants.

COMPARISONS TO PREVIOUS FALL SEMESTERS, FULL-TIME, REGULAR RANKED FACULTY

Tables 3a – 3e show comparisons of this semester's teaching load of full-time, regular, ranked faculty to the previous four fall semesters. More specifically, the tables display five-year historical trends for:

- --Average assigned section credits (Table 3a)
- --Number of sections (Table 3b)
- --Average credit value assigned to sections (Table 3c)
- --Average enrollment per section (Table 3d)
- --Average student credit hours produced (Table 3e)

The key findings include:

- System-wide, the average number of section credits assigned has remained fairly stable over the past five years (Table 3a).
- System-wide, the number of sections assigned to this group declined by 2% (Table 3b).
- Average enrollment per section has declined over the past five years. System-wide, enrollment per section has dropped from 17.8 in 1997 to 16.6 students per section in 2001 (Table 3d).
- Over the past five years, the average student credit hours produced by full-time, regular, ranked faculty has decreased on each of the four campuses. System-wide, average student credit hours produced has dropped from 150 in 1997 to 140 in 2001 (Table 3e).

Table 3a: Comparison of Average Assigned Section Credits of Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 1997 - Fall 2001

						Five-Yea	or Trand
						Tive-i ea	Percent
Campus	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Change	Change
							8
			Full-tim	e, Regular, A	All Ranks		
UMC	8.2	8.4	8.5	8.0	8.2	0.0	0%
UMKC	10.1	9.9	9.6	9.7	9.3	-0.8	-8%
UMR	9.7	9.4	9.0	9.5	9.5	-0.2	-2%
UMSL	7.9	8.3	7.9	8.3	8.8	0.9	11%
UM-System	8.7	8.8	8.7	8.6	8.7	0.0	0%
				Professor			
UMC —	8.2	8.5	8.8	8.0	8.5	0.3	3%
UMKC	10.5	10.1	9.6	9.2	8.4	-2.1	-21%
UMR	9.5	9.6	9.3	9.5	9.6	0.1	1%
UMSL	7.3	7.6	7.7	7.8	8.1	0.8	11%
UM-System	8.8	8.9	8.9	8.4	8.6	-0.2	-2%
OW System	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.2	270
			Ass	sociate Profe	ssor		
UMC	8.3	8.6	8.4	8.4	8.5	0.2	2%
UMKC	10.1	10.2	9.9	10.1	10.0	-0.1	-1%
UMR	10.3	9.4	8.8	9.9	9.8	-0.5	-5%
UMSL	8.3	8.7	8.4	9.0	9.6	1.3	15%
UM-System	9.0	9.1	8.8	9.1	9.2	0.2	2%
			Δs	sistant Profe	ssor		
UMC —	7.7	7.8	7.9	7.6	7.5	-0.2	-2%
UMKC	9.3	8.7	9.2	9.7	9.5	0.2	2%
UMR	9.1	9.0	8.5	9.1	9.1	0.2	0%
UMSL	7.8	8.6	7.4	8.1	8.8	1.0	12%
UM-System	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.4	8.3	0.1	1%

Note: Actual change and percent change are rounded.

IRP/ed 03/2002

Table 3b: Comparison of Number of Sections Assigned to Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 1997 - Fall 2001

						Five-Ve	ar Trend
						1110 10	Percent
Campus	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Change	C hange
			A 11	Section Ty	n o s		
UMC —	2,906	2,970	2,903	2,778	2,854	-52	-2%
UMKC	1,460	1,405	1,419	1,469	1,401	-59	-4%
U M R	950	969	957	931	901	-49	-5%
UMSL	836	839	862	875	896	60	7%
UM-System	6,152	6,183	6,141	6,053	6,052	-100	-2%
			Field/	Clinical Se	ctions		
UMC	63	104	76	67	56	-7	-11%
UMKC	13	9	3	4	5	-8	-62%
U M R							
UMSL	28	41	18	20	24	-4	-14%
UM-System	104	154	97	91	85	-19	-18%
			Inde	p Lesson/S	tu d v		
U M C	1,127	1,207	1,083	1,075	1,002	-125	-11%
UMKC	493	506	502	533	457	-36	-7%
UMR	269	286	272	274	279	10	4%
UMSL	199	221	224	220	236	37	19%
UM-System	2,088	2,220	2,080	2,102	1,974	-114	-5%
S M System	2,000	2,220				111	3 70
			Int	ternet/Vide			
UMC				_	9	9	
UMKC				5	6	6	
UMR				2	_	_	
UMSL				3	5	5	
UM-System				8	20	20	
				ory/Studio	Sections		
U M C	225	201	190	204	225	0	0 %
UMKC	50	28	35	57	53	3	6%
UMR	114	121	135	136	126	12	11%
UMSL	45	47	54	58	8 1	36	80%
UM-System	435	398	414	455	485	50	11%
				Lecture			
UMC	1,261	1,218	1,289	1,189	1,243	-18	-1%
UMKC	878	844	859	851	862	-16	-2%
UMR	544	535	521	495	467	-77	-14%
UMSL	162	153	166	168	167	5	3 %
UM-System	2,845	2,750	2,835	2,702	2,738	-107	-4%
			Recitation	/Seminar/D	Discussion		
U M C	230	240	266	243	319	89	39%
UMKC	26	18	20	20	18	-8	-31%
U M R	23	28	30	26	29	6	26%
UMSL	401	376	397	406	384	-17	-4%
UM-System	680	662	712	695	750	70	10%
o w -system	000	002	/ 1 2	093	/30	/ 0	1

^{*}New section type in fall 2000.

IR P/ed 3/2002

Table 3c: Comparison of Average Credit Value per Section, By Section Type,
For Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty,
Fall 1997 - Fall 2001

						Five-Yea	ır Trend
					_		Percent
Campus	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Change	Change
			A 11 S	ection Types			
U M C	2.68	2.67	2.71	2.71	2.66	-0.02	-1%
UMKC	2.73	2.74	2.71	2.71	2.71	-0.02	-1%
U M R	2.81	2.72	2.69	2.73	2.69	-0.12	-4%
UMSL	2.73	2.82	2.73	2.80	2.69	-0.04	-2%
UM-System	2.72	2.71	2.71	2.73	2.68	-0.04	-2%
			Field/C	linical Section	1 \$		
U M C	3.10	2.51	3.32	3.01	3.02	-0.08	-3 %
UMKC	3.23	3.44	4.00	3.00	2.60	-0.63	-20%
U M R							
UMSL	4.04	5.07	4.56	4.15	3.29	-0.74	-18%
UM-System	3 . 3 7	3 . 2 5	3 . 5 7	3.26	3.07	-0.29	-9%
			Inden	Lesson/Study	,		
U M C	2.87	2.86	2.89	2.95	3.06	0.19	7 %
UMKC	2.80	2.87	2.72	2.72	2.78	-0.02	-1%
U M R	3.74	3.42	3.42	3.59	3 . 4 1	-0.33	-9%
UMSL	2.37	2.49	2.47	2.62	2.66	0.29	12%
UM-System	2.92	2.90	2.87	2.94	3.00	0.08	3 %
			T 4-				
U M C			11116	rnet/Video*	2.89	2.89	
UMKC				3.00	3.00	3.00	
UMR				3.00	3.00	3.00	
UMSL				3.00	3.00	3.00	
UM-System				3.00	2.95	2.95	
			Labanata	/644:64			
U M C	1.73	1.83	1.83	ry/Studio Sect	1.74	0.01	1 %
U M K C	2.74	2.39	2.63	2.65	2.17	-0.57	-21%
UMR	1.24	1.27	1.30	1.28	1.28	0.04	3 %
UMSL	1.87	1.98	1.96	2.26	1.83	-0.04	-2%
UM-System	1.73	1.71	1.74	1.76	1.68	-0.05	-3 %
				Lecture			
U M C	2.78	2.76	2.79	2.81	2.69	-0.08	-3 %
UMKC	2.78	2.67	2.72	2.72	2.73	0.02	1 %
U M R	2.76	2.74	2.75	2.75	2.74	-0.02	-1%
UMSL	2.83	2.78	2.77	2.84	2.75	-0.02	-3 %
UM-System	2.75	2.73	2.76	2.77	2.72	-0.04	-1%
2 3.2 2 3 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3							- , ,
UMC -	2.00	2.00	1.98	ec/Sem/Dis 1.88	1.80	-0.21	-10%
UMKC	2.23	2.22	2.20	2.05	1.78	-0.45	-20%
U M R	1.13	1.18	1.13	1.15	1.78	0.11	10%
UMSL	2.89	2.89	2.87	2.89	2.81	-0.08	-3%
UM-System	2.51	2.48	2.45	2.45	2.29	-0.08	-9 %
o m -oystem	2.31	2.40	2.43	۷.٦٤	2.29	-0.21	- 9 /0

^{*} New Section type for Fall 2000.

Table 3d: Comparison of Average Enrollment per Section, By Section Type,
For Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty,
Fall 1997 - Fall 2001

						Five-Ye	ar Trend
C am p u s	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Change	Percent Change
			A 11 S	ection Typ	.06		
U M C	19.4	19.0	18.7	18.7	18.8	-0.5	-3%
UMKC	14.7	13.5	13.9	13.3	14.3	-0.4	-3%
UMR	17.1	16.7	16.4	15.4	14.7	-2.4	-14%
UMSL	18.3	16.6	16.2	16.1	15.4	-2.9	-16%
UM-System	17.8	17.0	16.9	16.5	16.6	-1.1	-6%
			Field/C	liniaal Caa	:		
UMC	7.7	9.2	3.1	linical Sec	4.8	-2.9	-38%
UMKC	16.9	14.0	8.3	5.0	12.8	-4.1	-24%
UMR	10.7	14.0	0.5	3.0	12.0	-4.1	-24/0
UMSL	6.9	5.0	4.7	7.4	5.7	-1.3	-18%
UM-System	8.7	8.4	3.6	5.1	5.7	-3.1	-36%
UM-System	0.7	0.4	3.0	3.1	3.3	-3.1	-30/0
<u>-</u>				Lessons/St			
UMC	2.6	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.4	-0.2	-7%
UMKC	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	0.0	-1%
U M R	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.3	0.3	17%
UMSL	2.5	2.5	3.8	3.4	3.7	1.2	50%
UM-System	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.4	0.1	4 %
			Inte	rnet/Video	*		
UMC					20.6	20.6	
UMKC				22.8	15.0	15.0	
UMR							
UMSL				1.0	14.4	14.4	
UM-System				14.6	17.4	17.4	
			Labo	ratory/Stu	dio		
UMC	16.5	13.0	12.2	12.4	12.7	-3.9	-23%
UMKC	27.2	31.4	23.5	19.0	18.9	-8.3	-30%
U M R	15.8	15.7	14.1	13.4	14.5	-1.3	-8%
UMSL	13.8	13.3	12.8	11.2	11.2	-2.6	-19%
UM-System	17.2	15.1	13.8	13.4	13.6	-3.7	-21%
				Lecture			
UMC	36.6	38.3	35.2	36.1	34.4	-2.2	-6%
UMKC	21.3	19.9	20.7	20.1	20.6	-0.7	-3%
UMR	24.7	24.4	24.3	23.0	21.9	-2.8	-11%
UMSL	38.6	36.3	32.2	29.3	29.9	-8.7	-22%
UM-System	29.7	29.8	28.6	28.3	27.6	-2.1	-7%
		1	R ecitation/S	Sem in ars/N	iscussion		
U M C	13.2	14.4	14.1	14.4	16.8	3.6	28%
UMKC	8.3	9.8	8.6	9.3	10.8	1.8	22%
U M R	21.2	23.9	20.2	21.0	19.3	-1.9	-9 %
UMSL	19.3	18.5	17.5	18.7	17.8	-1.5	-9 % -8 %
UM-System	16.9	17.0	16.1	17.0	17.8	0.4	- 8 % 2 %
o w - system	10.9	1 / .U	10.1	1 / .0	1 / .3	0.4	∠ %0

^{*} New section type for fall 2000.

Note: Actual change and percent change are rounded.

Table 3e: Comparison of Average Student Credit Hours Produced of Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty,
Fall 1997 - Fall 2001
Revised: April, 2002

Five-Year Trend Percent Change Change **Campus** Full-time, Regular, All Ranks **UMC** -5 -3% **UMKC** -15 -10% -19 **UMR** -13% **UMSL** -8 -5% -10 -7% **UM-System Professor UMC** -10 -7% -15 -11% **UMKC** -27 -20% **UMR UMSL** -8 -6% -13 -9% **UM-System Associate Professor UMC** 0% 0% **UMKC** -11 -7% **UMR UMSL** 2% **UM-System** -1 -1% **Assistant Professor UMC** -4% -6 -44 **UMKC** -26% **UMR** -10 -8% **UMSL** -27 -16% -11% -17 **UM-System**

IRP/ed 4/2002

Note: Actual change and percent change are rounded.

TEACHING LOADS OF GRADUATE TEACHING ASSISTANTS

Graduate teaching assistants continued to represent a significant component of the educational delivery system at the University of Missouri. This was most notable at UMC and especially at the lower division level (Table 4).

- On average, graduate assistants were responsible for two sections during Fall 2001, reflecting 3.8 section credits.
- For the system, graduate assistants were responsible for 15% of all sections taught during Fall 2001.
- At UMC, graduate assistants were responsible for over 49% of the lower division sections while at UMR they were responsible for 40% of the lower division sections.
- At UMKC and UMSL, 9% and 18% of all lower division sections were assigned to graduate assistants, respectively.
- For the system, graduate assistants taught 27% of the lower division lecture sections.

Table 4: Teaching Loads of Graduate Teaching Assistants, Fall 2001

On-Campus Average Instructional Responsibility and Productivity

Campus		Average R	esponsibility	Avera	ge Productivity
	Number	Section Credits Taught	Number of Sections Taught	Student Credit Hours Produced	Section Size
		8	8		
UM-Columbia	571	4.2	2.3	98.3	21.8
UM-Kansas City	44	4.7	1.7	84.6	15.8
UM-Rolla	164	2.2	1.5	48.1	18.5
UM-St. Louis	66	4.0	1.8	91.3	21.3
UM-System	845	3.8	2.1	87.3	21.1

On-Campus Instructional Contribution

	Percent of Total:							
			Student					
	Section	Sections	Credit	Section				
Campus	Credits	Taught	Hours	Enrollments				
UM-Columbia	17%	23%	19%	23%				
UM-Kansas City	3%	3%	4%	3%				
UM-Rolla	10%	16%	13%	17%				
UM-St. Louis	4%	5%	5%	6%				
UM-System	11%	15%	13%	16%				

Table 4 (cont.)

Number and Percentage of Total On-Campus Lower Division Sections Taught

	Section Type												
	Fiel Clini		Ind Stu	-	Labora Stud	•	Lect	ure	Recita Sem/		Inter Vid		T
Campus	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
UM-Columbia			22	25%	315	65%	354	36%	354	60%	0	0%	1,045
UM-Kansas City			15	20%	0	0%	33	9%	0	0%	1	17%	49
UM-Rolla			0	0%	74	60%	34	20%	34	50%	0	0%	142
UM-St. Louis			0	0%	9	17%	13	12%	43	28%	2	50%	67
UM-System	0	0%	37	17%	398	54%	434	27%	431	53%	3	27%	1,303

IRP/ed 03/2002

SPECIAL NOTE

The accuracy and timeliness of the teaching load data contained in this report are dependent upon the accuracy and integrity of the data maintained by the custodians of the student information and personnel/payroll systems. Because the information contained in this report is compiled by the merging of two distinct administrative systems, the data reported do not represent official, independent counts of faculty, student enrollments, credit hours, or sections.

Beginning fall 1995, lecture sections have been identified separately from recitation/seminar/discussion sections. Where appropriate, historical data have been modified to reflect this change. If desired, detailed tables regarding this report are available. Please direct requests for the detailed tables, and any comments or suggestions concerning this study to Robert Mullen at (573) 882-0004 or mullenrw@umsystem.edu.